TEXAS APPRAISER LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION BOARD vs.

 $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$

DOCKETED COMPLAINT NO. 09-013

DOUGLAS RYAN BOND TX-1335609-R

AGREED FINAL ORDER

On this the day of the

In order to conclude this matter Douglas Ryan Bond neither admits nor denies the truth of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein and further agrees to the disciplinary action set out in this Agreed Final Order. The Board makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law and enters this Order in accordance with Tex. Occ. CODE § 1103.458:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent Douglas Ryan Bond is a state certified residential real estate appraiser, holds certification number TX-1335609-R, and has been certified by the Board during all times material to this complaint.
- 2. Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board, the Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Act, Tex. Occ. Code Chapter 1103 (Vernon 2007) (the Act), the Rules of the Board, 22 Tex. Admin. Code §§153, 155, 157 (West 2007) (the Rules), and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in effect at the time of the appraisal.
- 3. On or about June 6th, 2006, the Respondent appraised real property located at 27013 Masters Parkway, Spicewood, Texas 78669 ("the property").
- 4. On or about September 29th, 2008, the Complainant, Deloris L. Kraft-Longoria, filed a staff-initiated complaint with the Board based on allegations that the Respondent had produced an appraisal report that contained various deficiencies.
- 5. On or about October 20th, 2008, the Board, in accordance with the mandate of the Administrative Procedure Act (the APA), Tex. Gov't Code Ann. Chapter 2001, notified Respondent of the nature and accusations involved and Respondent was afforded an opportunity to respond to the accusations alleged by the Complainant. Respondent's response to the complaint was received.

- 6. Respondent violated TEX. OCC. CODE § 1103.405, 22 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 153.20(a)(3) and 155.1(a) by the following acts or omissions which did not conform to USPAP in effect at the time of the appraisal report for the property:
 - Respondent failed to identify and report the site and improvement(s) description adequately;
 - b. Respondent failed to provide a brief summary of his basis and underlying rationale for his determination of the property's highest and best use;
 - Respondent failed to use an appropriate and recognized method or technique to develop an opinion of the site value and failed to provide support for his conclusions;
 - d. Respondent failed to collect, verify, analyze and reconcile the cost new of improvements and failed to employ recognized methods and techniques in his cost approach;
 - e. Respondent failed to employ recognized methods and techniques in his sales comparison approach correctly and did not collect, verify, analyze and reconcile comparable sales data adequately;
 - f. Respondent failed to explain and provide a brief summary of his basis and underlying rationale for the exclusion of the income approach;
 - g. Respondent failed to analyze all agreements of sale, options or listings of the subject property current as of the effective date of the appraisal;
 - h. Respondent's report contains substantial errors of commission or omission as detailed above which resulted in a misleading appraisal report for the property.
 - 7. Respondent omitted material facts as detailed above.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Act, TEX. OCC. CODE §§ 1103.451-1103.5535.
- 2. Respondent violated the following provisions of USPAP as prohibited by TEX. OCC. CODE § 1103.405 and 22 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 155.1(a) and 153.20(a)(3): USPAP Standards: 1-2(e)(i) & 2-2(b)(iii); 1-3(b) & 2-2(b)(x); 1-4(b)(i) & 2-2(b)(ix); 1-4(b)(ii) & 2-2(b)(ix); 1-1(a) & 1-4(b); 1-4(a) & 2-2(b)(ix); 1-1(a) & 1-4(a); 2-2(b)(xi); 1-5(a) & 2-2(b)(ix); 1-1(a); 1-1(b); 1-1(c); and 2-1(a).
- 3. Respondent violated 22 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §153.20(a)(9) by omitting material facts.

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Board **ORDERS** that the Respondent shall:

- a. Attend and complete a minimum, 15 classroom-hour course in USPAP;
- b. Attend and complete a minimum, 15 classroom-hour course in Residential Case Studies;
- c. Attend and complete a minimum, 7 classroom-hour course in Quality Assurance in Residential Appraisals: Risky Appraisals = Risky Loans;
 - i. No examination shall be required for this course.
- d. Pay an administrative penalty of \$500.00; and,
- e. Comply with all provisions of the Act, the Rules of the Board, and USPAP in the future, or be subjected to further disciplinary action.

ALL CLASSES required by this Agreed Final Order must be classes approved by the Board and must be completed within TWELVE MONTHS of the date of this Order and documentation of attendance and successful completion of the educational requirements of this Order shall be delivered to the Board on or before the end of the twelve-month period indicated. None of the classes or seminars required by this Order may be taken through correspondence courses. Unless otherwise noted above, all classes must be inclass, have an exam, and Respondent must have a passing grade on the exam given in each class. None of these required classes will count toward Respondent's continuing education requirements for certification. Respondent is solely responsible for locating and scheduling classes to timely satisfy the terms of this agreement.

Payment of the **ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY** must be by certified funds, and must be tendered within **TWENTY DAYS** of the date of this Agreed Final Order.

Failure to comply with any of the terms of this Agreed Final Order within the time allotted shall result in **IMMEDIATE SUSPENSION** of the Respondent's certification pursuant to notice to the Respondent from the Board indicating that the Respondent has not fulfilled the requirements of this Agreed Final Order.

ANY SUCH SUSPENSION SHALL BE EFFECTIVE WITHOUT THE NEED FOR A HEARING OR OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE DUE PROCESS UNDER THE TEXAS APPRAISER LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION ACT OR THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT, AND RESPONDENT SPECIFICALLY WAIVES ANY SUCH HEARING OR DUE PROCESS. Respondent shall be notified of any such suspension or lifting of probation by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address as provided to the Board. If Respondent's certification is suspended on such a basis, the suspension shall remain in effect until such time as Respondent satisfies that portion of the Agreed Final Order which he has defaulted on and provides adequate documentation of same to the Board.

Respondent, by signing this Agreed Final Order, waives the Respondent's right to a formal hearing and any right to seek judicial review of this Agreed Final Order. Information about this Agreed Final Order is subject to public information requests and notice of this Agreed Final Order will be published on the Board's web site.

THE DATE OF THIS AGREED FINAL ORDER shall be the date it is executed by the Chairperson of the Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board. The Chairperson has been delegated the authority to sign this Agreed Final Order by the Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board vote.

^
Signed this, 2010.
DOUGLAS RYAN BOND
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME, the undersigned, on this the day
, 2010, by DOUGLAS RYAN BOND, to certify which, witness m
hand and official seal.
RACHEL A. LOPEZ
Notary Public Signature Notary Public 8
STATE OF TEXAS My Gemm. Exp. 05-19-10
Notary Public's Printed Name
Signed by the Standards and Enforcement Services Division this 25TH day
, 2010.
Stor Benedicia
Troy Beaulieu, TALCB Staff Attorney
Signed by the Commissioner this day of, 2010.
fy Elisif
Douglas Oldmixon, Commissioner
Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board
Approved by the Board and Signed this 21 day of May, 2010.
James B. Ratliff, Chairperson
Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board